UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

k

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

12-CR-144-01-PB

V.

March 3, 2014
11:05 a.m.

HIEU NGO

*

TRANSCRIPT OF WAIVER OF INDICTMENT
AND PLEA TO INFORMATION HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAUL J. BARBADORO

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: Arnold H. Huftalen, AUSA

U.S. Attorney's Office

For the Defendant: Michael J. Connolly, Esq.

Hinckley, Allen & Snyder

Probation: Sean Buckley

Interpreter: Huan Dao

Court Reporter: Susan M. Bateman, LCR, RPR, CRR

Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

55 Pleasant Street Concord, NH 03301 (603) 225-1453

P R O C E E D I N G S

(Deputy Clerk swears in the Interpreter)

2.3

THE COURT: Court is now in session and has for consideration today a waiver of indictment and a plea to an Information in criminal case number 12-CR-144-01-PB, United States of America versus Hieu Minh Ngo.

THE COURT: First, I apologize for the delay. I had something I had to do for a telephone conference at noon, and I had to finish that.

Sir, I understand you intend to plead guilty to an Information charging you with three offenses.

The first one is wire fraud, the second is identity fraud, and the third is access device fraud.

Are you intending to plead guilty to those charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask you some questions. You need to speak your answers to me because what we say is being recorded. You also need to respond truthfully, so I'll ask the clerk to place you under oath now.

(Deputy Clerk swears in the defendant)

THE COURT: How far did you go in school?

THE DEFENDANT: Twelfth grade.

```
THE COURT: Can you read in your own
1
2
    language?
 3
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 4
             THE COURT: There are a couple of documents
5
    that are important here. I want to make sure that an
6
    interpreter has read them to you.
 7
             The first document is called an Information,
8
    that is the document that has the charges against you.
9
    Did an interpreter read that document to you?
10
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
11
             THE COURT: And the second document is called
12
    an acknowledgment and waiver of rights form. It's the
13
    document that you and your attorney have signed -- or
14
    excuse me. You may not have signed it yet. Do you
15
    have a signed copy? If you haven't yet signed it, let
16
    me just ask -- oh, it is signed. Okay.
17
             So I have the original that you and your
18
    attorney signed. That document has something called
19
    Attachment A. So I want to be sure. Has an
20
    interpreter read the acknowledgment form and
21
    Attachment A to you?
22
             THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
2.3
             THE COURT: And do you understand both
24
    documents?
25
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: And did you have a chance to talk
1
2
    to your lawyer about all of the documents?
3
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 4
             THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for a
5
    mental illness?
 6
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 7
             THE COURT: What have you been treated for?
8
             THE DEFENDANT: I heard voices in my head.
9
             THE COURT: When did you last hear voices?
10
             THE DEFENDANT: Since 2012.
11
             THE COURT: Have you heard them after 2012,
12
    or was 2012 the last time you heard voices?
13
             THE DEFENDANT: Since 2012 up to today, your
14
   Honor.
15
             THE COURT: All right. And have you been
16
    receiving any treatment for these voices you've been
17
    hearing?
18
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, but I still
19
    don't know what's the cause of those voices.
             THE COURT: All right. Let's talk about what
20
21
    treatment you're receiving. Are you taking any
22
    medicine for that?
2.3
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, but it did not help.
24
             THE COURT: All right. Do you know what
25
    medicine -- are you currently taking a medicine for
```

```
it?
1
2
             THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. I stop
3
    because it cause me tired and it did not help.
 4
             THE COURT: All right. Are you taking any
5
    medicine at all today?
 6
             THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
             THE COURT: Have you received any other
 7
8
    mental health treatment for your condition?
9
             THE DEFENDANT: Well, when I was in Vietnam I
10
    was in a hospital and they checked me with the MRI.
11
             THE COURT: How about now? Are you receiving
12
    any treatment at all for it while you're here?
13
             THE DEFENDANT:
                            No, your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: How often do you hear voices now?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: All the time, your Honor, but
16
    it gets worse. When everything is quiet, it gets
17
    worse.
18
             THE COURT: Can you tell me what the voices
19
    say to you?
20
             THE DEFENDANT: I hear voices, like haunted
21
    stuff, ghosts, evil. Sometimes they chase me.
22
             THE COURT: Do you have any other symptoms of
2.3
    mental illness?
24
             THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
25
             THE COURT: Mr. Connolly, auditory
```

hallucinations are a symptom of potentially significant mental illness. You have been interacting with your client. Have you seen anything in your interactions with him that would call into question his ability to enter a guilty plea today?

2.3

MR. CONNOLLY: No, I haven't, your Honor. I have met with Mr. Ngo on more than ten occasions.

I've spent several hours with Mr. Ngo. I've had extensive communications with his sister. His sister's name is Eden Ngo. Eden Ngo is fluent in English.

Mr. Ngo himself actually speaks English fairly well. He's able to understand me in English and he responds to me in English.

Neither Mr. Ngo nor his sister, Eden Ngo, have ever advised me of any mental illness on the part of Mr. Ngo at all. I am surprised to hear his responses this morning to your questions.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Ngo, in order to waive your rights -your constitutional rights here, you have to be able
to provide your lawyer with -- you have to be able to
assist your lawyer in providing you with a defense and
you have to be competent and to understand the rights
that you're giving up by pleading guilty.

I want to ask you a few questions about these issues so that I can try to make an assessment of whether the voices you're hearing in any way are affecting your judgment today. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

2.3

THE COURT: Have these voices given you any instruction about what you are supposed to do with respect to the charges against you?

THE DEFENDANT: You mean it harms me or -THE COURT: Does it tell you to do something
about the charges, to plead guilty, to go to trial?
Are the voices in any way specific to the charges
against you?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are the voices in any way -- do they concern the crimes that you committed?

THE DEFENDANT: Basically the voices cause me headaches and fatigue, but ever since I did this crime I did a lot of thinking and that's why my head is always in pain.

THE COURT: Let me ask you some questions about the court proceedings here today. I'm the judge. What does a judge do in a case like yours?

THE DEFENDANT: The judge will make a judgment toward my crime and give me the sentence.

THE COURT: Do you think that as the judge that I'm on your side or the prosecutor's side, or am I impartial and not related to either side? THE DEFENDANT: Impartial. THE COURT: Mr. Connolly, sitting beside you, is your lawyer. What's his job? THE DEFENDANT: He would help me about my case and also help me get a fair sentence. THE COURT: All right. And the person 10 sitting across at the other table, Mr. Huftalen -could you stand up, Mr. Huftalen. He's the 11 What does the prosecutor do? prosecutor. 13 THE DEFENDANT: He provide all the documents 14 proving that I'm guilty. THE COURT: All right. You have told me you 16 want to plead guilty today, and one of the things you 17 would be giving up by pleading guilty is your right to 18 have a jury trial. A jury -- they would sit in that 19 box over there, and if there were a trial they would 20 be your jury. What does a jury do at a trial? THE DEFENDANT: I think that they will 22 consider what is the fair sentence for my crime. 2.3 THE COURT: They would figure out a sentence 24 for your crime? They would also -- you've told me 25 today you want to plead guilty. But if you wanted to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

15

21

have a trial instead, the jury would determine whether
you committed the crime or not. Do you understand
that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

2.3

THE COURT: It's up to you to decide whether you want to have a trial or whether you want to plead guilty. No one can make you plead guilty. It's totally up to you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: The defendant appears to be lucid and competent to me. He understands the role that each of the central players have in the criminal justice system.

Mr. Connolly has represented to me that he has seen no signs of impairment which would affect the defendant's ability to participate in the process.

Nevertheless, I am concerned whenever a defendant claims to hear voices. While this is not uncommon, it can be a sign of significant mental illness.

What I propose to do is proceed with the plea colloquy here. And if I otherwise find his answers acceptable, I will provisionally accept the plea.

But I am going to ask to appoint Dr.

25 Drukteinis to complete a competency evaluation of the

defendant and provide that to me prior to sentencing.

If the evaluation raises issues that require further investigation, I will undertake it at that time.

Did you receive any mental health treatment or were you prescribed my medication for the voices while you were here in the United States?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. And I took it for a couple weeks or so, but I had to stop because it cause me to be very tired.

THE COURT: All right.

2.3

Mr. Connolly, I would ask you to endeavor to obtain the defendant's U.S. mental health records so that they can be made available to Dr. Drukteinis, or whoever I ultimately appoint here, so that we can facilitate the examination.

MR. CONNOLLY: I will, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Huftalen.

MR. HUFTALEN: I just wanted to share with your Honor that when the defendant was arrested in February of 2013 in Guam, he appeared in court there, was appointed a federal defender at that point, dealt with agents, and there was no indication at that point by any of the parties involved that the defendant lacked the ability to communicate and understand what was going on.

Since then I have met with the defendant, along with defense counsel, and Special Agent Matt O'Neil, and no one in that meeting noticed or expressed concerns with respect to Mr. Ngo's ability to comprehend what was going on.

2.3

Mr. Ngo is facing additional charges in the District of New Jersey for computer hacking. He has been writted to New Jersey. He appeared on that case, has met with agents and government lawyers, and I believe Mr. Connolly, in New Jersey. No one noticed any issues there.

He has also traveled to the Southern District of New York and met with agents and prosecutors there because he has relevant information of an evidentiary value to a criminal prosecution in the Southern District, and none of the agents or prosecutors in the Southern District of New York have expressed to me that they noticed any inability of Mr. Ngo to understand who the players were, what their respective roles were.

Not that that's dispositive of anything, but I wanted to share that with you and put that on the record.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

You've told me that an interpreter has read

this Information to you; that's the charge. The charges in that Information are felony charges, and you have a right to have those charges presented to a grand jury to see whether an indictment would be returned against you.

2.3

A grand jury is a group of citizens, at least 16 and not more than 23. The prosecutor would present his evidence to the grand jury and the grand jury would have to determine whether there was probable cause to believe you committed these crimes. The grand jury could not return indictments against you unless at least twelve members found probable cause. You could not be held for trial unless a grand jury returned an indictment against you.

Let me ask my clerk. Do I have a separate waiver of indictment, or is that embodied in the acknowledgment and waiver of rights?

(Deputy Clerk confers with the Court)

Okay. So you have signed a document telling me you're giving up your right to have this matter proceed to the grand jury. If I accept your waiver, the case will proceed against you on the Information just as though you had been indicted.

Do you understand what I said to you about the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: And do you wish to give up your right to have the matter presented to the grand jury?

(Attorney Connolly consults with the defendant)

THE COURT: Do you agree to waive your right to have the matter presented to the grand jury?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I find that the defendant has knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently given up his right to have the matter presented to the grand jury. The case will proceed against him on the Information just as though he had been indicted.

Now, you have a right to a trial on these charges. That's what I was talking to you about before. You have a right to a trial, and you've told me you want to give up that right.

If the case had gone to a trial, you wouldn't have to prove your innocence. Instead, it would be up to the government to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: To prove your guilt the government has to prove certain things, and I want to review what the government has to prove with you.

These are in the attachment that was read to you.

So the first charge is wire fraud. To prove wire fraud, the government would have to prove first that there was a scheme substantially as charged in the Information.

2.3

Second, he would have to prove that the scheme involved the misrepresentation or concealment of a material fact or matter, or the scheme involved a scheme to obtain money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses involving a false statement concerning a material matter.

Third, the prosecutor would have to prove that you knowingly and willfully participated in the scheme with the intent to defraud.

And fourth, he would have to prove that for the purpose of executing the scheme, or in furtherance of it, that you caused an interstate or foreign wire communication to be used, or it was reasonably foreseeable that for the purpose of executing the scheme that an interstate or foreign wire communication would be used on or about the dates alleged in the Information.

This is what would have to be proved before wire fraud could be established. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The second charge is identity

fraud. To be guilty of that charge the prosecutor would have to prove first that you acted knowingly.

2.3

Second, he would have to prove that you transferred, possessed or used without lawful authority a means of identification of another person.

Third, he would have to prove that you did so with the intent to commit or to aid and abet or in connection with other unlawful activity.

That's what would have to be proved to prove the second charge, identity fraud. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: The third charge, access device fraud, involves the following things that the prosecutor would have to prove:

First, that you used or trafficked in access devices, including but not limited to payment card numbers, bank account numbers, and bank routing numbers and other associated data.

Second, that you used and trafficked in them without authorization and thereby obtained something of value of at least \$1,000 during a one year period.

Third, that you must have acted knowingly, willfully and with intent to defraud.

And fourth, that your conduct affected interstate or foreign commerce.

That's what has to be proved to prove access 1 2 device fraud. Do you understand? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Huftalen, could you make a 5 brief proffer of the evidence supporting your charges? 6 MR. HUFTALEN: I will, your Honor. I have a 7 fairly lengthy proffer which Mr. Ngo has reviewed -- I 8 know because he's asked me to make some minor 9 changes -- but I will try to make it as brief as I 10 can. 11 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you, is it in 12 writing? 13 MR. HUFTALEN: It's not in writing in a form 14 that I could pass up to the Court. It has a number 15 of --16 THE COURT: I have a telephone conference 17 that I have to hold at 12:00 o'clock. So if we don't 18 finish by five of, I'm going to have to take a break 19 until after that conference which could be over an 20 hour. Okay? 21 MR. HUFTALEN: Understood. Thank you. 22 In the event this case were to proceed to 2.3 trial, the government would prove beyond a reasonable 24 doubt the following: 25 Between 2007 and the defendant's arrest in

February of 2013, he ran a business from his home in Vietnam through which he sold personally identifiable information, which is referred to as PII, which can, and in this case did, include individuals' names, addresses, Social Security numbers, dates of birth, places of work, duration of work, dates of employment, state driver's license numbers, mother's maiden names, bank account numbers, bank routing numbers, e-mail account names and addresses and other account passwords.

2.3

He also sold stolen payment card numbers for credit cards and debit cards, and he also sold access through websites that he ran to more than 1,300 criminals around the world to obtain PII of millions of United States citizens which was stored in databases in the United States.

Mr. Ngo sold what are referred to in the carding industry, which is credit card fraud industry, "fulls", F-U-L-L-S or F-U-L-L-Z. Those are slang terms that are used to describe a package of PII that includes a number of the things that I just said but also includes, among others, Social Security numbers.

"Fulls" are used by carders to take over identities of people in order to engage in various crimes, including bank fraud, credit card fraud, ATM,

bust-out fraud, and the filing of fraudulent United

States personal income tax returns requesting refunds.

2.3

Much of the information in "fulls", including but not limited to Social Security numbers, constitutes means of identification, as that term is defined at 18 U.S. Code 1028(d)(7).

During the course of the investigation conducted by an undercover United States Secret Service agent in New Hampshire, it was learned that Mr. Ngo had advertised that he had more than one half million "fulls" for sale.

Mr. Ngo sold "fulls" and access to PII through websites that he ran and required his customers to pay him through Liberty Reserve.

Liberty Reserve is an anonymous offshore payment means which at the time was located in Costa Rica.

From 2010 to 2013 Mr. Ngo acquired, offered for sale and sold "fulls" from an inventory that he maintained on his website of more than 150,000 "fulls". He repeatedly acquired and transferred to others "fulls" of individuals in the United States, including individuals located in New Hampshire.

The bank account information contained in some of those "fulls" included branches of banks

located in New Hampshire. Mr. Ngo sold and transferred "fulls" into the District of New Hampshire.

2.3

Mr. Ngo also sold and trafficked in stolen payment card data from credit cards and debit cards. That information typically contained the victim account holders' payment card numbers, expiration date, account holder name, account holder address and phone number.

Mr. Ngo transferred to others stolen payment card data of account holders in the District of New Hampshire.

Additionally, and perhaps most significantly, the evidence would show that Mr. Ngo administered websites whereby he allowed his more than 1,300 customers, both domestic in the U.S. and from many countries around the globe, to access a database of PII in the United States.

He would charge his clients through their Liberty Reserve accounts, and they would pay him through his Liberty Reserve account.

With respect to one database in particular, Mr. Ngo allowed his clients to make more than three million queries of U.S. citizens' PII.

That database which contained PII of

approximately 200 million U.S. citizens was located in Ohio.

The name of the company that owned that database was U.S. Info Search. U.S. Info Search had a contractual arrangement with a California company named Court Ventures whereby customers of Court Ventures had access to the U.S. Info Search data as well as Court Ventures' data, and vice versa.

Mr. Ngo contracted with Court Ventures fraudulently representing that he was a private investigator from Singapore, and through that contract with Court Ventures was able to make available to his clients access to the U.S. Info Search database containing 200 million U.S. citizens' information.

MR. HUFTALEN: I'm getting close to the end.

THE COURT: All right.

time. How much more do you have?

2.3

MR. HUFTALEN: During the course of his conduct within the dates said in the Information, Mr. Ngo sent "fulls" to New Hampshire. He took payment for "fulls" from New Hampshire. He sent means of identification to New Hampshire and to others. There were 45,000 deposits from his customers into this Liberty Reserve account totalling more than \$1.9 million.

2.3

The U.S. Secret Service has conducted investigations into many of his customers, all of whom have stated that they only obtained the information from Mr. Ngo to engage in criminal fraud.

The evidence would establish that at the time Mr. Ngo knew that he was providing the information for others to engage in fraud.

That, your Honor, I believe would be sufficient to allow a jury to find the defendant guilty of the three charges listed in the Information.

THE COURT: Do you disagree with anything that the prosecutor has said about your crimes?

MR. CONNOLLY: Your Honor, I had a chance to review the factual proffer with Mr. Ngo before the proceeding began today, and I would like to make the following clarifications.

Mr. Ngo was in fact aware that the people who

purchased the personal identifying information from him intended to use it for fraudulent purchases. He, however, was not aware that the information was being used to commit what Mr. Huftalen described as ATM fraud, nor was Mr. Ngo aware that the information would be used to commit tax fraud or used for the submission of fraudulent tax returns.

2.3

Mr. Huftalen indicated that the defendant allowed his clients to conduct more than three million queries on the U.S. database which he described. Mr. Ngo was not aware of the number of queries that were conducted by his clients. He doesn't dispute the number. He just simply did not have that knowledge.

Furthermore, Mr. Huftalen described that there was information concerning 200 million U.S. citizens on the U.S. database. Mr. Ngo was not aware of the number of U.S. citizens whose information was maintained on the database.

 $\label{eq:with those clarifications, Mr. Ngo agrees to \\$ the facts presented by Mr. Huftalen.

THE COURT: Do you agree with what your lawyer said?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You face a maximum possible prison term of 20 years on the first count, that is,

```
the wire fraud count; 15 years on Count 2; and 10
1
2
    years on Count 3. Count 2 is the identity fraud and
3
    Count 3 is the access device fraud. Do you
 4
    understand?
5
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
 6
             THE COURT: You could be fined up to twice
7
    the gross gain to you resulting from your offense or
8
    twice the gross loss resulting from your offense,
9
    whichever is greater. Do you understand?
10
             THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
             THE COURT: You could be sentenced to
11
12
    supervised release of up to three years on each count.
13
    And if you violate supervised release, you could be
14
    sent back to prison. Do you understand?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
             THE COURT: I'm not going to have time to
16
17
    finish.
            I apologize.
18
             This is a telephone conference of the
19
    Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference, which
20
    is the governing committee of the Judicial Conference.
21
    It's an emergency telephone call. I have to take it.
    It has to start at 12:00 o'clock.
22
2.3
             I'll let you know when we can come back and
24
    finish.
25
             (RECESS)
```

THE COURT: All right. Let's pick up where we left off. I reviewed with you the possible maximum penalties that you face, but when I sentence you I will use something called the Sentencing Guidelines to help determine your sentence. Have you discussed in general with your lawyer how the Sentencing Guidelines may apply in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.3

THE COURT: So when I sentence you, I will use those guidelines to determine a guideline sentencing range. That's a range of months. I will then treat the guidelines as advisory. So I could sentence you within that range of months, but I could sentence you above it or I could sentence you below it. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: By pleading guilty, you're giving up certain constitutional rights that you have.

You have a right to a trial. That would be in front of the jury. The jury would consist of twelve people. All twelve people would have to find you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt; otherwise you could not be found guilty.

You could have an attorney appointed for you at no cost if you could not afford an attorney. You

```
could bring witnesses into court and have them testify
1
    for you. You could testify if you wanted to. If you
2
3
    wanted instead to remain silent, you could do that,
 4
    and I would tell the jury it could not hold your
5
    silence against you. You could be present throughout
6
    the trial and you could have your lawyer cross-examine
 7
    any witnesses who testify against you.
             By pleading guilty, you're giving up all of
8
9
    these rights. Do you understand that?
10
             THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
11
             THE COURT: If I accept your guilty plea,
12
    there won't be any trial. The only thing that will be
13
    left is for me to sentence you. Do you understand
14
    that?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
16
             THE COURT: Did anyone threaten you in an
17
    effort to try to get you to plead guilty?
18
             THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: May I see counsel at sidebar
20
    briefly?
21
             (SIDEBAR)
22
             (THIS PORTION OF THE RECORD IS ORDERED UNDER
2.3
    SEAL BY THE COURT)
24
             (CONCLUSION OF SIDEBAR)
25
             THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to
```

```
you to try to get you to plead guilty other than as
1
2
    set forth in the March 3rd letter to your lawyer from
3
    Mr. Huftalen?
 4
             THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
5
             THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the legal
6
    advice you've received from your attorney?
 7
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
8
             THE COURT: This is the last chance you have
9
    to change your mind. Do you feel you've had enough
10
    time to think about your decision to plead quilty?
11
             THE DEFENDANT: I want to plead quilty.
12
             THE COURT: You told me that an interpreter
13
    has read the charges to you in the Information, so I
14
    won't have him read it to you again unless you want me
15
    to. Do you want me to read the charges to you again?
16
             THE DEFENDANT: I think I understood
17
    everything, your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: I'll take your pleas now.
19
             As to Count 1 of the Information charging you
20
    with the offense of wire fraud, how do you plea to
21
    that charge, guilty or not guilty?
22
             THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
2.3
             THE COURT: As to Count 2 charging you with
24
    the offense of identification fraud, how do you plead
25
    to that charge, guilty or not guilty?
```

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: And as to Count 3 charging you with fraud in connection with access devices, how do you plead to that charge, guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: Having questioned the defendant and his counsel on the offered pleas of quilty, the defendant and his counsel having informed the Court that they have conferred concerning the offered pleas of quilty, and the Court having observed the defendant making his answers, his demeanor and manner while answering questions, his apparent intelligence and his attitude, and the Court having observed that the defendant does not appear to be under the influence of any medication, drug or other substance which may affect his judgment in any manner, the Court finds that the pleas of guilty of the defendant have a factual basis, are free of any coercive influence of any kind, are competently and voluntarily made with full knowledge of the charges against him and the consequences of his pleas, that there have been no promises of any kind made to him apart from the statements set forth in the written plea agreement which has been filed with the court, and no threats or coercion have been exerted upon him in any manner.

I've sat through a fairly lengthy hearing here and had a chance to watch the defendant closely. I've also received factual proffers from the government and defense counsel regarding the defendant's ability to work with lawyers for the government and the defense. And notwithstanding his complaint of having heard voices, I'm satisfied that he is competent. Therefore, I am modifying my prior ruling, and I'm not going to require a competency assessment.

2.3

If either the attorney for the government or the attorney for the defendant should have any reason hereafter to question the defendant's competency, they must immediately notify the Court and I will determine whether an evaluation is required.

I accept the defendant's guilty plea. He's now adjudged guilty of the offenses set forth in Counts 1 through 3 of the Information.

Sentencing will take place on June 16th at 10:00 a.m.

The parties should consult local rules for other dates bearing on the sentencing process.

The defendant is currently in custody. I see no reason to change his custody status.

Anything else?

```
MR. HUFTALEN: One very minor thing, Judge.
1
2
             Just before you spoke of the defendant's
3
    competence you made reference to a signed plea
4
    agreement that was filed with the Court, and I know
5
    that you intended to say the March 3rd letter and the
6
    waiver and the acknowledgment.
7
             THE COURT: Right. There isn't a formal plea
8
    agreement in the ordinary sense that we recognize it,
9
    and I should have treated my findings -- where I said
10
   plea agreement, I meant other than the March 3rd
11
    letter. Thank you for correcting me.
12
             MR. HUFTALEN:
                             Thank you.
13
             THE COURT: Anything else?
14
             MR. HUFTALEN:
                            Nothing from the government.
15
             MR. CONNOLLY: Nothing from the defense.
16
             THE COURT: All right. Once again, I
17
    apologize for keeping you here. I know it's
18
    inconvenient. I try hard not to do it, but I just had
19
    to this time.
20
             MR. HUFTALEN:
                            Thank you.
21
             MR. CONNOLLY:
                            Thank you, Judge.
22
             (Conclusion of hearing at 1:30 p.m.)
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	I, Susan M. Bateman, do hereby certify
5	that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate
6	transcription of the within proceedings, to the best
7	of my knowledge, skill, ability and belief.
8	
9	August M R +
10	Submitted: 3-7-14 SUSAN M. BATEMAN, LCR, RPR, CRR LICENSED COURT REPORTER, NO. 34
11	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
12	
13	
14	
15	
1617	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	